

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/717,309	11/19/2003	Darrell J. King	3556.1000-003	6481
21005 75	90 07/28/2006	EXAMINER		INER
HAMILTON,	BROOK, SMITH & RE	TSO, EDWARD H		
530 VIRGINIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 9133 CONCORD, MA 01742-9133				TATERNOMBER
			2838	
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/717,309	KING ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Edward H. Tso	2838		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHOWHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is not so time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinding apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ma This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed to the drawing applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	r election requirement. r. epted or b) ○ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/15/2006</u> .	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The IDS filed on 5/15/06 has been considered and placed of record. An initialed copy is attached herewith.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-18, 21-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Peter et al. (US 5,592,067). The reference discloses a charge equalizer having, *inter alia*, a plurality of battery cells, a charge controller for distributing the charge among the cells through a non-dissipated load (phantom module) until a threshold target is reached. Converters are used to up-transfer or down-transfer the charges through a series of switching topologies.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Art Unit: 2838

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19, 20, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peter et al. (US 5,592,067). The reference does not disclose a timer for tracking the charge and/or discharge time. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a timed charge/discharge circuit, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/15/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to Applicant's argument that the phantom module is not a

Art Unit: 2838

non-dissipated load, the Examiner disagrees. According to Applicant's definition of what is a non-dissipated load, the phantom load/module falls right into that definition. All the charges put in the phantom module are siphoned off whenever a transfer is necessary.

With respect to Applicant argument that Applicant deals with a state of charge balancer and not with the charge equalizer, the Examiner disagrees. These terms are interchangeable in the art. The end result is that the charges of the batteries are all balanced.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner at the below-listed number on every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,309

Art Unit: 2838

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom, can be reached at (571) 272-1989 on Monday-Thursday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist at (571) 272-2800, Monday-Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm, EST.

Ву:

EDWARD H TSO Primary Examiner (571) 272-2087 Page 5